State of the Art of Autologous Platelet Concentrates in Dentistry: A Narrative Review

Abstract

Aim of the study

Over the past 10 years, PRP and PRF have been widely used in dentistry, due to their growth factors and regenerative potentials and have expanded their use in many procedures with results that are now clinically and statistically significant. The initially described procedures included oral surgery, in general, from simple to complex extractions of included third molars. Today their use goes from periodontics to hard and soft tissue regenerative surgery, through oral wound healing up to implant therapy. The aim of this review is to evaluate the state of the art of autologous platelet concentrates in different branches of dentistry.

Materials and methods

A literature research was conducted through major scientific database without any restriction. Because of the large number of articles included and the wide range of methods and results among the studies found, it was not possible to report the results in the form of a systematic review or meta-analysis. Therefore, a narrative review was conducted.

Results

We obtained 2236 results, of which 1621 were published in the last 10 years. After the screening of titles and abstracts, non-topic entries were excluded, 323 reviews and systematic reviews were included, of which 320 passed the English language filter.

Conclusions

This review highlights the present state of the art of the use of autologous platelet concentrates in dentistry. According to several recent studies, there is an effective benefit in several clinical outcomes described in using prp or prf in different procedures, while in others further clinical studies are needed.

Authors

A. Chiacchio ^{1,*}, B. Scognamiglio ¹, M. Langone ², A. Trocciola ¹, A. Acerra ^{1,*}

*Corresponding authors

¹ Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry, University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy

² Independent Researcher in Sala Consilina

Keywords

Platelet-rich fibrin, plateletrich plasma, prf, prp, oral surgery, implantology.

DOI

10.23805/JO.2024.679



INTRODUCTION

PRP is the plasmatic fraction containing platelets and leucocytes of the autologous non-coagulated blood. Although the evidence supporting the clinical use of PRP in dentistry is low, PRP is widely used in sportive medicine, orthopedics and dermatology (1). The beneficial activity of PRP is believed to be due to growth factors released by platelets accumulated in PRP, even if evidence is indirect and not completed. Despite the widespread use of PRP, the cellular and molecular mechanisms of its beneficial effects are not completely known.

The preparation of PRP involves collecting the patient's blood, the centrifugation process to separate platelets and plasma from red and white blood cells, and the measure of platelets concentration in a reduced plasma volume. Different centrifugation protocols and devices can influence the quality and composition of the PRP obtained. PRP contains a high concentration of platelets, which release growth factors and other bioactive molecules when activated. These growth factors include platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), beta-transforming growth factor (TGF-β), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and many others, playing a crucial role in the modulation of tissue healing. The use of anticoagulants such as citrate in the preparation of PRP prevents coagulation during centrifugation (2). The addition of thrombin and CaCl2 to PRP activates platelets, causing the release of growth factors and the formation of a fibrin scaffold, providing a structure for tissue regeneration. Activated platelets release a variety of bioactive molecules, including cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors, affecting various biological processes such as inflammation, angiogenesis, and tissue regeneration. These molecules act on different target cells, including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and inflammatory cells, to promote tissue healing. The fibrin-rich extracellular matrix (ECM) formed in activated PRP acts as a temporary scaffold supporting cell migration and proliferation, providing a reservoir of growth factors and modulating inflammation. The structure and composition of fibrin ECM can significantly affect the outcome of tissue healing. PRP can improve tissue healing through various mechanisms, including stimulation of cell proliferation, promotion of angiogenesis, modulation of the inflammatory response, and inhibition of apoptosis. These beneficial effects are mediated by growth factors and cytokines released from activated platelets and fibrin ECM.

Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) is a second-generation technology (3). It is preceded by the platelet-rich plasma (PRP). Both PRF and PRP use autologous blood. Both PRF and PRP aim to use blood growth factors to promote the body's healing process. PRF is based on PRP by preserving the growth factors in a fibrin

matrix and can take its effects in days or weeks after surgery. Unlike PRP, PRF is prepared without the use of anticoagulant factors, which are known to inhibit wound healing. Compared to PRP, PRF preparations tend to have a higher leukocyte concentration due to improvements in the centrifugation technique, a fibrin matrix that promotes healing and allows growth factors to be released gradually over time, and can be obtained

in different forms that improve processability. In general, there are 2 types of PRF: a solid one and a liquid one. Solid PRF is the initial form of PRF made by Choukroun et al. which improves PRP by preparing platelets concentrate without anticoagulation, having as a result a solid medium which allows slow release of growth factors (4). Choukroun used a high centrifugal force (708 g) in glass tubes to separate his blood products. This type of PRF had a dense fibrin structure. Ghanaati improved his technique in 2014 using a reduced centrifugation force (208 g) and plastic tubes that are less likely to activate a coagulation cascade to produce what he calls A-PRF (5). This dense nature of the clot is the main form of solid PRF used today. A-PRF has a higher concentration of leukocytes retained due to its slow centrifugation and a more porous fibrin matrix, which is better for a greater release of its content. The increasing porosity also allows a greater penetration of blood vessels during angiogenesis. These solid forms of PRF are malleable and can be shaped into pellets or cut into smaller pieces for bone grafting or pressed in a flat shape to be used as a membrane. The I-PRF is based on the concept of slow centrifugal force because it is prepared with a speed force of 60 g (higher rpm but for less time). The result is a non-coagulant suspension that can be manipulated like PRP but keeps the ability to form a slow-release matrix when applied into tissue. This form of PRF can be injected into deep tissue spaces, onto open wounds and mixed with other grafting materials, such as bone graft particles, to produce "sticky bone", which has a texture easier to handle.

The preparation time and cost for PRF are significantly lower because it does not require direct activation with additional factors such as bovine thrombin or extrinsic anticoagulants. Due to its fibrous structure, PRF retains a larger number of cytokines and growth factors within a supportive three-dimensional fibrin scaffold, which facilitates cell migration (6). In tissue, PRF dissolves more slowly than PRP, forming a solid fibrin matrix that is gradually remodeled similar to a natural blood clot. This allows platelets and cytokines to be effectively retained and released over time (6). The PRF scaffold provides a continuous slow release of growth factors and cytokines for up to 10 days, in contrast to PRP, which releases the majority of its growth factors within the first day. Consequently, migrating cells in proximity to PRF scaffolds are exposed to fibrin and growth factors throughout their entire growth cycle (7).

Once blood is collected (without anticoagulants), samples must be centrifuged immediately to prevent activation of the coagulation cascade. During centrifugation, fibrinogen is concentrated at the top of the collection tube until circulating thrombin transforms it into a fibrin network, resulting in a fibrin clot rich in platelets, trapped between an acellular plasma layer and erythrocytes (8). The solid fibrin clot is positioned between the supernatant and the reddish layer formed by red blood cells. This clot can then be immediately removed and condensed in a metal box to obtain a solid membrane or a cylindrical filler. The resulting exudate can be cut and used to hydrate graft materials if needed.

In oral surgery the most frequent applications are for example exodontic surgery, in which PRF can be used as an additive to graft biomaterials for filling the alveolus and in socket preservation techniques (9). It plays a role in third molar surgery to promote optimal healing processes and prevent the onset of alveolar osteitis. PRF has also been successfully used in the closing of oro-antral communications and in sinus augmentation procedures (10,11).

In implantology, the influence of autologous platelet concentrates (APC) on osteointegration processes was investigated (12). As regards the application of PRP and L-PRF on the surface of implants there seems to be a limited clinical benefit, on the other hand, the application of L-PRF membranes in the osteotomy site appears to give positive results in terms of early stability and marginal bone resorption, however no long-term positive effects have been observed. The limitations of this type of study are the inter-individual variability of each patients' unique plasma composition and also the great variability in the centrifugation protocols.

The purpose of this narrative review is to evaluate the state of the art of autologous platelet concentrates in different fields of interest in dentistry, highlighting clinical outcomes supported by significantly scientific studies and those still debated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The aim of this review is to investigate all uses of autologous platelet concentrates in dentistry, to highlight the evidence of efficacy they have in some procedures and the ongoing debate that needs further investigation.

Protocol and literature search

A literature research was conducted independently by two reviewers (A.A.; A.C.) through PubMed/MEDLINE, the COCHRANE library, Scopus, and Web of Science databases without any restriction. Only articles published until 29-07-2024 and written in English have been included. A combination of the following keywords was used for the electronic search: (PRF or PRP or Platelet Rich Plasma or Platelet Rich Fibrin) AND (Oral Surgery or Implantology).

References were exported and managed using Mendeley Reference Manager.

RESULTS

We obtained 2236 results from our search. 1621 manuscripts were published in the last 10 years. Only reviews and systematic reviews were included from them, for a total number of 323 results, of which 320 passed the English language filter. After the screening of titles and abstracts, non-topic entries were excluded, but many articles that were obtained, concerne the use of platelet concentrates in oral surgery, regenerative surgery, periodontology, and implantology.

Due to the large number of articles included and the wide range of methods and results among the studies found, it was not possible to report the results in the form of a systematic review or meta-analysis.

On the other hand, the articles that were identified by the research procedure described above, were used as the basis for the present narrative review. This review is a narrative review, so it is not based on statistical analysis or bias reduction through confounding analysis.

DISCUSSION

PRP and PRF in Oral Surgery

Many studies have been done in the last two decades on the role and uses of autologous platelet concentrates in oral surgery (13,14). From early on, the presence of growth factors and cytokines within the first and second generation of platelet concentrates have shown promising results in their use in the field of oral surgery (15,16). Indeed, they have been used over time following extractions of dental elements, in particular of the lower third molar, in diabetic patients or those on anticoagulant therapy, in case of osteonecrosis of the jaws, or even in patients with osteitis or oroantral communications (17). The results obtained are controversial, there are several studies that identify positive effects in the quality of wound healing and their regenerative potential, but the quality of the concentrates could have interindividual variability and depends on the healthy state of the subject (3,18). In the case of PRP, its efficacy could also depends on the mode and composition of preparation due to the protocol used (19).

PRF after Third Molar Extraction

Third molar extraction is one of the most frequent surgical procedure in oral surgery. It is also one of the procedures that has major postoperative sequelae, all of which are related to the inflammatory state that is created after surgery. The major postoperative



symptomatic manifestations are pain, swelling, difficulty in chewing, and a prolonged healing time of the site. The use of prf and its application in the postextraction socket has been proposed by many authors as an aid in postoperative sequelae (20). Many of them agree that prf has a real efficacy in the reduction of postoperative pain and swelling, as well as in a better healing of the surgery site. Vitenson et al. in particular identify the application of A-PRF as the solution to a marked reduction in postoperative pain, when compared to L-PRF or natural healing of the socket (21). These results are also confirmed by Bao et al, who also identify a greater reduction especially on the days third and seventh after surgery (22). Many others also agree that prf does not have a regenerative capacity towards hard tissue, but further studies are needed to investigate this issue in more detail (23). According to some authors, postoperative complications such as osteitis would also be greatly reduced by the use of this platelet concentrate (24).

PRF in Alveolar Osteitis

Alveolar osteitis is one of the complications related to dental avulsion. In particular, this occurs when there is no blood in the alveolus, thus no clot formation, and most frequently occurs after the avulsion of molars in the lower dental arch (25). This condition generates severe postoperative pain and discomfort that must be managed by the clinician. Several therapeutic options have been proposed for the management of alveolar osteitis, and it has been seen that, although there are no standard protocols identified, the use of PRF has a strong impact in reducing postoperative pain related to this complication (26,27). PRF also seems to have a role in the prevention of this complication when applied in the post-extraction socket, unlike the use of PRP, which does not seem to have a preventive role when compared to placebo (25).

PRF in Oroantral Communication

Oroantral communication is another complication that can occur in oral surgery when there is a pathological tract between the oral cavity and the sinus. It can results in various preexisting conditions, such as structural or anatomical, infective-inflammatory types or from traumatic origin. It is very important to manage this type of complication because chronic exposure of the maxillary sinus to the oral microbiota can cause infection and avoid the healing of the tract, especially when it has a diameter greater than 5 mm. Several techniques have been proposed, but the most commonly used is the coronally advanced flap, but this shows some disadvantages related to flap surgery and clinician experience (28). Among the various therapeutic alternatives, one stands out for its associated advantages, the use of PRF. Specifically, when used as a clot and a membrane at the same time, it creates a seal in the most apical portion of the gate and a barrier in the most coronal portion, at the flap level. It has been proposed in various techniques, such as the bilaminar or trilaminar one, but in general, the advantages of its use include the absence of a donor site, which was present using autologous grafts and the absence of displacement of the mucogingival junction, that avoids the flap elevation and reduces post-operative pain (29,30).

RF in Implant Therapy

The application of autologous platelet concentrates in regenerative and oral surgery has been studied for some time with various results (31). Studies involving the use of these concentrates in the field of implant therapy are all very recent, proving that it is a very current issue and the result of great clinical interest, as well as many studies have been done to understand what associations there may be between implant stability, osseointegration, and various factors (32). Most studies see the application of PRF at the implant site and evaluate implant stability frequency at various time points through resonance (33). Many studies agree that PRF has a power to accelerate the bone healing phase, promoting, especially in the first phase, the process of implant stability (34). In particular, at 1 and 4 weeks after implant placement most studies agree in identifying improved implant stability compared to the control group, then there are studies that extend this improvement in stability up to 6 weeks and 3-4 months after placement. PRP, on the other hand, does not seem to have a great role in improving implant stability (35,36). One study in particular sees possible benefits of its use in diseased patients compared with healthy patients, in whom it would not have much of a finding, but still concludes that it needs further clinical studies that land the results (37).

PRF in management of MRONJ

Drugs to date described in the literature that can cause osteonecrosis of the jaws go far beyond those classically known as bisphosphonates (38,39). The use of autologous platelet concentrates in cases of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaws, as in implant surgery, is not codified by standard protocols (40). Their use is divided depending on the application, which can be preventive, in patients undergoing dental extraction who are taking or have taken bisphosphonates or drugs that have antiresorptive or antiangiogenetic activity, or therapeutic, in patients who have already developed the adverse event (41). In the case of the first described use, the results are statistically significant in preventing the risk of drugrelated adverse events, the same cannot be said for confirmed and stabilized cases of osteonecrosis of the jaws (42). However, it has also been showed that the results are variable and depend on the age of the



patient, the time of the drug administration but above all it depends on the stage of the osteonecrosis (43).

PRF in Oral lesions treatment

Oral lichen planus (OLP) represents a significant challenge in oral health, not only for its painful and distressing symptoms but also because of its therapeutic complexity. The variety of clinical manifestations—ranging from reticular lesions to ulcerative forms— needs a tailored approach and, at times, a multidisciplinary strategy for the effective management of the condition. Several therapeutic surgical strategies have been proposed against oral lesions (44).

Traditionally, the treatment of OLP has relied on corticosteroids and other pharmacological agents. However, the use of systemic corticosteroids can lead to a range of significant side effects, highlighting the urgent need for safer and less invasive alternatives. Recently, injectable platelet-rich fibrin (i-PRF) has emerged as a promising option. Although studies are limited, findings suggest that i-PRF not only alleviates pain and reduces lesion size but also enhances patient satisfaction, representing a potentially more acceptable treatment pathway with fewer side effects compared to corticosteroids (45).

In parallel, platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), utilized in the context of oncological surgery for oral carcinoma, has shown favorable outcomes. The meta-analysis conducted by Long et al. demonstrated that the application of PRF may promote tissue healing, reduce the risk of postoperative complications such as scarring, and improve the overall surgical treatment outcome (46).

The combination of innovative approaches such as i-PRF and PRF offers a new prospective to manage complex conditions such as OLP and to enhance the quality of life for patients, underscoring the importance of further research and clinical studies to validate these findings and optimize therapeutic strategies.

PRP AND PRF IN PERIODONTOLOGY

PRP and PRF in Periodontal Regeneration

Periodontal tissue regeneration encompasses a range of treatments and therapeutic techniques aimed at repairing and reconstructing the tissues that support the teeth, which are frequently compromised by periodontal diseases such as periodontitis. The objective of these procedures is to slow or halt the progression of periodontal disease and to restore damaged structures, including gums, bone, cementum, and periodontal ligament.

Infrabony periodontal defects are structural bone alterations that develop in the alveolar region of the maxillary or mandibular bone, in proximity to the roots of the teeth. The ultimate goal of periodontal therapy is not only to halt the progression of periodontal disease but also to regenerate the original architecture and function of the periodontal complex, which involves the formation of new cementum on the tooth root and new periodontal attachments between the newly formed bone and cementum. Various surgical techniques for the regeneration of periodontal tissues in infrabony defects are described in the literature.

Several studies have analyzed the efficacy of platelet concentrates either alone or in combination with other biomaterials in the treatment of intraosseous periodontal defects and furcation defects.

In terms of PPD and CAL, according to the review by Panda et al., platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) has a significant additive effect when used in conjunction with open flap debridement (OFD) (47). Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) also exhibits a significant additive effect when used alongside bone grafts. In contrast, PRP has been found ineffective when utilized in combination with guided tissue regeneration (GTR) procedures.

Additionally, according to Miron et al., the use of PRF results in statistically superior periodontal repair of intraosseous defects compared to OFD alone and can also be combined with regenerative biomaterials such as bone grafts or collagen barrier membranes to enhance periodontal regeneration of intraosseous defects (48). Despite the widespread use of PRF demonstrating reductions in PPD and CAL gains, there have been conducted histological studies to assess the regeneration of periodontal tissues.

These characteristics are also supported by the review conducted by Ye et al., who state that platelet-rich fibrin alone provides an effect comparable to the one of biomaterials alone and to that of platelet-rich fibrin combined with biomaterials (49). Conversely, the use of PRF in conjunction with biomaterials does not have any advantage over biomaterials alone. In contrast, according to Hou et al., PRP as an adjunct to the therapy of periodontal intraosseous defects has demonstrated clinically and statistically significant greater gains in clinical attachment level (CAL) and reductions in probing depth (PD) compared to biomaterials alone (50).

Hou et al. and Panda et al. agree that the addition of activated platelet concentrates did not prove beneficial in the GTR regenerative technique (47,50).

Furthermore, according to Cui et al., autologous platelet concentrate treatments have been associated with minimal pain and improved wound healing (51).

The management of periodontal furcation defects presents a significant challenge for clinicians due to the anatomy, accessibility, and complexity of healing. The regeneration of Class II furcation involvement, although feasible, is regarded as an unpredictable procedure, particularly concerning bone fill. This is attributed to the fact that furcation defects constitute lesions bounded by non-vascularized walls, which are

JO

unable to provide an adequate supply of cells and blood necessary for regeneration. Castro et al. and Skurska et al. agree that the use of Platelet Rich Fibrin (PRF) in addition to conventional open flap debridement (OFD) has demonstrated statistically significant advantages in terms of probing depth (PD), clinical attachment level (CAL), and bone fill, as supported by Miron et al. (48,52,53). There is no scientific evidence regarding the advantage of using PRF in conjunction with biomaterials compared to biomaterials alone; however, it appears to enhance soft tissue healing (52).

Several strategies and materials have been used over time against the damage caused by periodontal disease, especially because we know that the interaction between this condition and the oral microbiome turns out to be crucial (54–57). Recently, an innovative strategy for regenerative periodontal treatment has been proposed, which involves the simultaneous use of platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) (an anabolic agent) and 1% alendronate (ALN) (a catabolic agent). According to the data analyzed by Li et al., this combination of PRF and 1% ALN demonstrated a greater capacity for periodontal regeneration compared to PRF alone, with statistical significance (58).

PRP and PRF in Periodontal Plastic Surgery

Recently, periodontal plastic surgery is often indicated for the correction of mucogingival defects. The use of free gingival grafts (FGG) and connective tissue grafts (CTG) harvested from the palate are among the most predictable procedures in periodontal and periimplant plastic surgery. However, palatal harvesting causes significant discomfort in the palatal area for patients undergoing the procedure. For this reason, various surgical techniques and biomaterials have been introduced for the treatment of gingival recessions without the need for palatal harvesting of FGG and CTG.

According to the data analyzed by Miron et al., the use of Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF) in combination with the Coronally Advanced Flap (CAF) surgical technique may represent an effective treatment modality for gingival recessions that exhibit adequate baseline keratinized mucosa width (KMW) (59). This is supported by data indicating that the use of PRF in conjunction with CAF significantly improves the percentage of root coverage compared to CAF alone; however, it does not enhance KMW. Therefore, in cases with limited baseline KMW, the use of Connective Tissue Graft (CTG) may be preferred over PRF.

However, such data are contradicted by Chambrone et al., who demonstrated that PRF in combination with CAF did not yield statistically significant results in terms of root coverage percentage, increase in KMW, or reduction of recession width compared to CAF alone (60).

As highlighted by Panda et al., the data regarding its

efficacy in covering gingival recessions do not show statistically significant results (61). This suggests that, although PRF may have some benefits, it is not universally effective for all cases of gingival recession. However, reviews regarding its application at the palatal graft site indicate significant advantages. The use of Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF) in this context has been associated with a reduction in postoperative pain, which may translate into lower analgesic consumption by patients. Furthermore, PRF appears to promote enhanced and expedited wound healing, facilitating early re-epithelialization at the donor site (62,63). These factors render PRF a promising option in soft tissue management, particularly in surgical procedures where pain and healing are critical considerations (64, 65).

It is important, however, to continue collecting and analyzing data to fully understand the efficacy of PRF in various clinical applications and to determine the contexts in which it may offer the greatest benefits.

PRP and PRF in Sinus Floor Augmentation

The maxillary sinus floor elevation is a dental surgical procedure aimed at increasing the bone volume in the maxillary sinus area. This procedure is often necessary in preparation for dental implant placement in situations where the maxillary bone is insufficient due to bone loss, which can occur for various reasons, such as tooth extractions, periodontal disease, or trauma (66).

The use of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) in the context of maxillary sinus floor elevation represents an increasingly common practice in oral and maxillofacial surgery.

The approach for sinus elevation can be categorized into two types: the crestal approach, wherein access to the sinus is achieved from the maxillary bone crest, and the lateral approach, which involves accessing the sinus through the buccal maxillary bone. Furthermore, the procedure can be classified as "one-stage" when implant placement occurs simultaneously with the sinus elevation or "two-stage" when the implant placement takes place after the maxillary sinus elevation.

The issue of the efficacy of autologous platelet concentrates (APC) in the context of dental procedures and maxillofacial surgery is complex and characterized by studies with conflicting results.

ThereviewbyGasparroetal.highlightsthatAPCprovides clinical benefits in the short term, demonstrating new bone formation without complications (67). However, the same authors emphasize that, in the long term, no significant improvements emerge in parameters such as bone density, volume, or height compared to the natural healing process.

In contrast, the research conducted by Quirynen et al. indicates that the use of L-PRF as a unique substitute in transcrestal approaches has led to high implant survival rates and reasonable vertical gain (68). This also appears to reduce postoperative pain and limit long-term bone resorption.

Ali et al. confirm the promising application of PRF in "one-stage" sinus lift procedures, suggesting it may accelerate the maturation of demineralized bone grafts (69). Conversely, Ortega-Mejia et al. found no significant additional effects of PRF on sinus augmentation in relation to bone heights and soft tissue percentages (70).

Finally, Valentini et al. caution against using APC alone in a two-stage approach, highlighting their insufficient resistance to the forces of sinus pneumatization (71). However, when combined with bone substitutes, they may accelerate bone formation, although without long-term advantages in new bone gain.

Several studies have reported that the use of these techniques does not seem to significantly influence survival rates or failure rates of implants, nor the occurrence of complications (72,73).

On the other hand, the application of L-PRF membranes in the treatment of perforations appears promising, demonstrating effectiveness as a viable option (71). L-PRF membranes may promote a favorable healing environment, contributing to a reduction in complications.

In addition, the use of Autologous Platelet Concentrate (APC) has shown positive results in the healing of soft tissues and in enhancing the quality of life of patients in the short postoperative period. This evidence suggests that, although PRP and PRF may not have direct effects on implant survival rates, techniques such as L-PRF membranes and APC can provide significant benefits in healing and postoperative comfort.

In summary, the implementation of PRF and APC can be considered recommended for the management of specific complications and for optimizing tissue healing, even though the results on implant success rates require further investigation to confirm longterm benefits.

PRF in Alveolar Ridge Preservation

The process of bone resorption following the extraction of a dental element is a natural phenomenon that occurs due to the loss of supporting bone resulting from the absence of the root of the extracted tooth (74). This phenomenon can have various implications for oral health and the planning of potential future interventions, such as the placement of dental implants (75–77). For this reason, several surgical techniques have been described to manage and minimize such resorption.

The issue of using platelet concentrates, such as PRP (Platelet-Rich Plasma) and its derivatives, in oral surgery and alveolar ridge preservation is complex and yields variable results depending on the protocols employed for their preparation and application.

Quirynen et al. highlighted that the benefits of PRP in the context of alveolar ridge preservation are controversial, indicating that discrepancies in outcomes may arise from differences in PRP preparation methods (68). This has led to limited adoption of the technique in clinical contexts. In contrast, PRGF (Platelet-Rich Growth Factors) has shown potential in improving outcomes, although some studies have not found significant differences compared to healing achieved with a simple blood clot.

However, L-PRF (Leukocyte-Platelet Rich Fibrin) has demonstrated promising results, exhibiting a significant acceleration in soft tissue healing and a reduction in alveolar ridge resorption (78,79). Some studies have shown that the application of PRP or PRF at the tooth extraction site is associated with less horizontal and vertical bone loss during the early stages of healing, specifically within the 2-3 months following extraction (80,81). Nevertheless, it has been noted that an extended healing period may not confer additional benefits.

Additionally, histological analyses and the use of micro-CT have demonstrated that sockets filled with PRF or PRP exhibit superior quality new bone formation and significantly higher mineral density compared to natural clot (82). These findings are critical, as they suggest that the use of platelet concentrates not only promotes healing but may also enhance the quality of the newly formed bone tissue.

Furthermore, studies generally agree that the use of plasma concentrates, such as PRP and PRF, tends to accelerate healing and epithelization of soft tissues in extraction cavities, as well as reduce postoperative pain and discomfort, thereby mitigating the risk of post-extraction alveolitis . These evidences highlight their relevance in dental practice, despite variations in preparation protocols.

Autologous Platelet Concentrates in Endodontics

APCs have also been used in the endodontic field. In particular, their use has been described in the management of apical lesions of dental elements, endo/periosteal lesion, endodontic surgery, and apical procedures (83,84). The results obtained describe a good quality of healing, which, however, is not quantified with standard criteria (85,86). Although therefore, the results are promising, further clinical studies and protocols are needed to be standardized to certify clinical and scientific reproducibility.

CONCLUSIONS

This review substantially highlights all the areas of use of autologous platelet concentrates in dentistry described in the literature today. The state of the art shows greater scientific evidence, thanks to clinical trials conducted over the past 10 years, with absolutely



promising results.

They range from the surgical field to the oncological, infectious, regenerative, endodontic and implant fields. There is much scientific evidence reported in this study, however further investigations and clinical studies are necessary to create a standardization of protocols and clinical evaluation criteria to give greater reliability and predictability to their use.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, A.A., B.S. and A.C.; methodology, A.A.; software, A.T.; validation, A.A., B.S. and A.C.; formal analysis, M.L.; investigation, A.C.; resources, A.T.; data curation, M.L.; writing—original draft preparation, A.A.; writing—review and editing, A.C.,

REFERENCES

1. Gruber R. How to Explain the Beneficial Effects of Platelet-Rich Plasma. Periodontol. 2000 2024, doi:10.1111/prd.12565.

2. Mollison PL. The Introduction of Citrate as an Anticoagulant for Transfusion and of Glucose as a Red Cell Preservative. Br. J. Haematol. 2000, 108, 13–18, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2141.2000.01827.x.

3. Fan Y, Perez K, Dym H. Clinical Uses of Platelet-Rich Fibrin in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. Dent. Clin. North Am. 2020, 64, 291–303, doi:10.1016/j. cden.2019.12.012.

4. Choukroun J, Diss A, Simonpieri A, Girard MO, Schoeffler C, Dohan SL, Dohan AJJ, Mouhyi J, Dohan DM. Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF): A Second-Generation Platelet Concentrate. Part V: Histologic Evaluations of PRF Effects on Bone Allograft Maturation in Sinus Lift. Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol. Endod. 2006, 101, 299–303, doi:10.1016/j. triplec.2005.07.012.

5. Ghanaati S, Booms P, Orlowska A, Kubesch A, Lorenz J, Rutkowski J, Landes C, Sader R, Kirkpatrick C, Choukroun J. Advanced plateletrich fibrin: a new concept for cell-based tissue engineering by means of inflammatory cells. J Oral Implantol. 2014 Dec;40(6):679-89. doi: 10.1563/ aaid-joi-D-14-00138. PMID: 24945603.

6. Kobayashi E, Flückiger L, Fujioka-Kobayashi M, Sawada K, Sculean A, Schaller B, Miron RJ. Comparative release of growth factors from PRP, PRF, and advanced-PRF. Clin Oral Investig. 2016 Dec;20(9):2353-2360. doi: 10.1007/s00784-016-1719-1. Epub 2016 Jan 25. PMID:

26809431.

7. Tsay RC, Vo J, Burke A, Eisig SB, Lu HH, Landesberg R. Differential growth factor retention by platelet-rich plasma composites. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2005 Apr;63(4):521-8. doi: 10.1016/j. joms.2004.09.012. PMID: 15789325.

8. Dohan Ehrenfest DM, Del Corso M, Diss A, Mouhyi J, Charrier JB. Three-dimensional architecture and cell composition of a Choukroun's platelet-rich fibrin clot and membrane. J Periodontol. 2010 Apr;81(4):546-55. doi: 10.1902/jop.2009.090531. PMID: 20375539.

9. Choukroun J and Miron RJ. Platelet rich fibrin in regenerative dentistry. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2017.

10. Weinstock RJ, Nikoyan L, Dym H. Composite three-laver closure of oral antral communication with 10 months follow-up-a case study. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2014 Feb;72(2):266.e1-7. doi: 10.1016/j. joms.2013.09.022. Epub 2013 Dec 8, PMID: 24321311. 11. Simonpieri A, Choukroun J, Del Corso M. Sammartino G, Dohan Ehrenfest DM. Simultaneous sinus-lift and implantation using microthreaded implants and leukocyte- and platelet-rich fibrin as sole grafting material: a six-year experience. Implant Dent. 2011 Feb;20(1):2-12. doi: 10.1097/ ID.0b013e3181faa8af. PMID: 21278521

12. Ivanovski S, Lee RSB, Fernandez-Medina T, Pinto N, Andrade C, Quirynen M. Impact of autologous platelet concentrates on the osseointegration of dental implants. Periodontol 2000. 2024 Apr 22. doi: 10.1111/prd.12563. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 38647020.

13. Feigin K, Shope B. Use of

A.T.; visualization, B.S.; supervision, A.A.; project administration, A.A.; funding acquisition, A.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments

Not Applicable.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Platelet-Rich Plasma and Platelet-Rich Fibrin in Dentistry and Oral Surgery: Introduction and Review of the Literature. J Vet Dent. 2019 Jun;36(2):109-123. doi: 10.1177/0898756419876057. PMID: 31662059.

14. Egierska D, Perszke M, Mazur M, Duś-Ilnicka I. Platelet-rich plasma and platelet-rich fibrin in oral surgery: A narrative review. Dent Med Probl. 2023 Jan-Mar;60(1):177-186. doi: 10.17219/ dmp/147298 PMID: 37023345 15. O'Sullivan L, Ní Ríordáin R. Autologous platelet concentrates in oral surgery: protocols, properties, and clinical applications. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2022 Feb;133(2):156-164. doi: 10.1016/i.oooo.2021.05.013. Epub 2021 Sep 11. PMID: 34518138. 16. Gasparro R, Oorri E, Valletta A, Masucci M, Sammartino P, Amato A. Marenzi G. Non-Transfusional Hemocomponents: From Biology to the Clinic-A Literature Review. Bioengineering (Basel). 2018 Mar 31;5(2):27. doi: 10.3390/ bioengineering5020027. PMID: 29614717; PMCID: PMC6027172. 17. Campana MD, Aliberti A Acerra A, Sammartino P, Dolce P, Sammartino G, Gasparro R. The Effectiveness and Safety of Autologous Platelet Concentrates as Hemostatic Agents after Tooth Extraction in Patients on Anticoagulant Therapy: A Systematic Review of Randomized, Controlled Trials. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2023; 12(16):5342. https://doi. org/10.3390/jcm12165342 18. Kumar KR, Genmorgan K, Abdul Rahman SM, Rajan MA, Kumar TA, Prasad VS, Role of plasma-rich fibrin in oral surgery. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2016 Oct;8(Suppl 1):S36-S38. doi:

10.4103/0975-7406.191963. PMID: 27829743; PMCID: PMC5074036. 19. Anitua E. Fernándezde-Retana S, Alkhraisat MH. Platelet Rich Plasma in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery from the Perspective of Composition. Platelets 2021, 32, 174-182, doi:1 0.1080/09537104.2020.1856361. 20. Gasparro R, Sammartino G. Mariniello M. di Lauro AE. Spagnuolo G, Marenzi G. Treatment of periodontal pockets at the distal aspect of mandibular second molar after surgical removal of impacted third molar and application of L-PRF: a split-mouth randomized clinical trial. Quintessence Int. 2020;51(3):204-211. doi: 10.3290/j.qi.a43947. PMID: 32020130

21. Vitenson J, Starch-Jensen T, Bruun NH, Larsen MK. The use of advanced platelet-rich fibrin after surgical removal of mandibular third molars: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2022 Jul;51(7):962-974. doi: 10.1016/j. ijom.2021.11.014. Epub 2022 Jan 13. PMID: 35033409.

22. Bao M, Du G, Zhang Y, Ma P, Cao Y, Li C. Application of Platelet-Rich Fibrin Derivatives for Mandibular Third Molar Extraction Related Post-Operative Sequelae: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2021, 79, 2421–2432, doi:10.1016/j. jorns.2021.07.006.

23. Al-Hamed FS, Tawfik MA, Abdelfadil E, Al-Saleh MAQ. Efficacy of Platelet-Rich Fibrin After Mandibular Third Molar Extraction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2017 Jun;75(6):1124-1135. doi: 10.1016/j.joms.2017.01.022. Epub 2017 Feb 1. PMID: 28236425.

24. Canellas JVDS, Fraga SRG, Santoro MF, Netto JNS, Tinoco EMB. Intrasocket interventions to prevent alveolar osteitis after mandibular third molar surgery: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2020 Sep;48(9):902-913. doi: 10.1016/j.jcms.2020.06.012. Epub 2020 Jul 8. PMID: 32718882.

25. Daly BJ, Sharif MO, Jones K, Worthington HV, Beattie A. Local interventions for the management of alveolar osteitis (dry socket). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2022 Sep 26;9(9):CD006968. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006968. pub3. PMID: 36156769; PMCID: PMC9511819.

26. La Rosa GRM, Marcianò A, Priolo CY, Peditto M, Pedullà E, Bianchi A. Effectiveness of the platelet-rich fibrin in the control of pain associated with alveolar osteitis: a scoping review. Clin Oral Investig. 2023 Jul;27(7):3321-3330. doi: 10.1007/s00784-023-05012-3. Epub 2023 Apr 4. PMID: 37014504; PMCID: PMIC10329583.

27. Garola F, Gilligan G, Panico R, Leonardi N, Piemonte E. Clinical management of alveolar osteitis. A systematic review. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2021 Nov 1;26(6):e691-e702. doi: 10.4317/medoral.24256. PMID: 34704976; PMCID: PMC8601644.

28. Dell'Aversana Orabona G, Maglitto F, Committeri U, Romano A, Sani L, Troise S, Arena A, Audino G, Norino G, Del Prete GD, Navarro Cuellar C, laconetta G, Califano L. Management of oroantral communications using platelet-rich fibrin: our experience. J Osseointegr. 2022 Sep. 1

29. Salgado-Peralvo AO, Mateos-Moreno MV, Uribarri A, Kewalramani N, Peña-Cardelles JF, Velasco-Ortega E. Treatment of oroantral communication with Platelet-Rich Fibrin: A systematic review. J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2022 Oct;123(5):e367-e375. doi: 10.1016/j.jormas.2022.03.014. Epub 2022 Mar 19. PMID: 35318134.

30. Parvini P, Obreja K, Sader R, Becker J, Schwarz F, Salti L. Surgical options in oroantral fistula management: a narrative review. Int J Implant Dent. 2018 Dec 27;4(1):40. doi: 10.1186/s40729-018-0152-4. PMID: 30588578; PMCID: PMC6306369. 31. Cortese A, Pantaleo G, Borri A, Caggiano M, Amato M. Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) in implant dentistry in combination with new bone regenerative technique in elderly patients. Int J Surg Case Rep. 2016:28:52-56. doi: 10.1016/j.ijscr.2016.09.022. Epub 2016 Sep 22. PMID: 27689517; PMCID: PMC5043401. 32. D'Ambrosio F, Amato A, Chiacchio A, Sisalli L, Giordano F. Do Systemic Diseases and Medications Influence Dental Implant Osseointegration and Dental Implant Health? An Umbrella Review. Dent J (Basel). 2023 Jun 5;11(6):146. doi: 10.3390/dj11060146. PMID: 37366669; PMCID: PMC10296829.

33. Lyris V, Millen C, Besi E, Pace-Balzan A. Effect of leukocyte and platelet rich fibrin (L-PRF) on stability of dental implants. A systematic review and metaanalysis. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2021 Dec;59(10):1130-1139. doi: 10.1016/j.bjoms.2021.01.001. Epub 2021 Jan 19. PMID: 34702597.

34. Guan S, Xiao T, Bai J, Ning C, Zhang X, Yang L, Li X. Clinical Application of Platelet-Rich Fibrin to Enhance Dental Implant Stability: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Helivon 2023, 9, e13196, doi:10.1016/j. heliyon.2023.e13196. 35. Qu C, Luo F, Hong G, Wan Q. Effects of platelet concentrates on implant stability and marginal bone loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Oral Health. 2021 Nov 12;21(1):579. doi: 10.1186/s12903-021-01929-x. PMID: 34772376; PMCID: PMC8588658. 36. Ivanovski S, Lee RSB, Fernandez-Medina T, Pinto N, Andrade C, Quirynen M. Impact of autologous platelet concentrates on the osseointegration of dental implants. Periodontol 2000. 2024 Apr 22. doi: 10.1111/ prd.12563. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 38647020. 37. Zwittnig K, Mukaddam K, Vegh D, Herber V, Jakse N, Schlenke P, Zrnc TA, Payer M. Platelet-Rich Fibrin in Oral Surgery and Implantology: A Narrative Review. Transfus Med Hemother. 2022 Dec 22:50(4):348-359. doi: 10.1159/000527526. PMID: 37767284; PMCID: PMC10521222.

38. Caggiano M, Di Spirito F. Acerra A, Galdi M, Sisalli L. Multiple-Drugs-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw in a Patient Affected by Multiple Myeloma: A Case Report. Dent J (Basel). 2023 Apr 13;11(4):104. doi: 10.3390/dj11040104. PMID: 37185482; PMCID: PMC10137621. 39. Sisalli L. Giordano F. Chiacchio A, Acerra A, Caggiano M. Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw: A Case Report of an Unusual Side Effect of Adalimumab. Case Rep Dent. 2023 Dec 15;2023:5544285. doi: 10.1155/2023/5544285. PMID: 38144420; PMCID: PMC10746375.

 40. Rusilas H, Balčiūnaitė A,
Žilinskas J. Autologous platelet concentrates in treatment of medication related osteonecrosis of the jaw.
Stomatologija. 2020;22(1):23-27.
PMID: 32706343.

41. Lopez-Jornet P, Sanchez Perez A, Amaral Mendes R, Tobias A. Medicationrelated osteonecrosis of the jaw: Is autologous platelet concentrate application effective for prevention and treatment? A systematic review. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2016 Aug;44(8):1067-72. doi: 10.1016/j.jcms.2016.05.004. Epub 2016 May 20. PMID: 27318752.

42. Nowak SM, Sacco R, Mitchell FL, Patel V, Gurzawska-Comis K. The effectiveness of autologous platelet concentrates in prevention and treatment of medicationrelated osteonecrosis of the iaws: A systematic review, J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2024 Jun;52(6):671-691. doi: 10.1016/j. jcms.2024.01.007. Epub 2024 Feb 1. PMID: 38644092. 43. Beth-Tasdogan NH. Mayer B, Hussein H, Zolk O, Peter JU. Interventions for managing medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2022 Jul 12;7(7):CD012432. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012432. pub3. PMID: 35866376; PMCID: PMC9309005.

44. Pisano M, Sammartino P, Di Vittorio L, Iandolo A, Caggiano M, Roghi M, Bizzoca ME, Lo Muzio L. Use of Diode Laser for Surgical Removal of Pyogenic Granuloma of the Lower Lip in a Pediatric Patient: A Case Report. Am J Case Rep. 2021 Jun 19;22:e929690. doi: 10.12659/AJCR.929690. PMID: 34146391; PMCID:

PMC8218884.

45. Gupta N, Bhargava A, Saigal S, Sharma S, Patel M, Prakash O. Effectiveness of Injectable Platelet-Rich Fibrin in the Treatment of Oral Lichen Planus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cureus. 2024 Jan 3;16(1):e51626. doi: 10.7759/cureus.51626. PMID: 38318577; PMCID: PMCI0839423.

46. Long T, Li C, Xu F, Xiao J. Therapeutic efficacy of plateletrich fibrin on surgical site wound healing in patients undergoing oral carcinoma resection: A meta-analysis. Int Wound J. 2024 Jan;21(1):e14386. doi: 10.1111/iwj.14386. Epub 2023 Sep 11. PMID: 37697485; PMCID: PMC10784624.

47. Panda S, Doraiswamy J, Malaiappan S, Varghese SS, Del Fabbro M. Additive effect of autologous platelet concentrates in treatment of intrabony defects: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Investig Clin Dent. 2016 Feb;7(1):13-26. doi: 10.1111/jicd.12117. Epub 2014 Jul 22. PMID: 25048153.

48. Miron RJ, Zucchelli G, Pikos MA, Salama M, Lee S, Guillemette V, Fujioka-Kobayashi M, Bishara M, Zhang Y, Wang HL, Chandad F, Nacopoulos C, Simonpieri A, Aalam AA, Felice P, Sammartino G, Ghanaati S, Hernandez MA, Choukroun J. Use of platelet-rich fibrin in regenerative dentistry: a systematic review. Clin Oral Investig. 2017 Jul;21(6):1913-1927. doi: 10.1007/s00784-017-2133-z. Epub 2017 May 27. PMID: 28551729.

49. Ye L, Mashrah MA, Ge L, Fang Y, Guo X, Ge Q, Wang L. Network meta-analysis of platelet-rich fibrin in periodontal intrabony defects. J Oral Pathol Med. 2023 Mar;52(3):206-215. doi: 10.1111/ jop.13409. Epub 2023 Feb 28. PMID: 36793244.

50. Hou X, Yuan J, Aisaiti A, Liu Y, Zhao J. The effect of platelet-rich plasma on clinical outcomes of the surgical treatment of periodontal intrabony defects: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Oral Health. 2016 Aug 17;16(1):71. doi: 10.1186/s12903-016-0261-5. PMID: 27531202; PMCID: PMC4987973.

51. Cui Y, Mai Y, Liu X, Mu H. Clinical benefits of autologous platelet concentrate in periodontal intrabony defects: A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Oral Sci. 2024 Jun;132(3):e12978. doi: 10.1111/



eos.12978. Epub 2024 Mar 8. PMID: 38459610. **52**. Skurska A, Chwiedosik M,

Se. Souriska A, Onwedosik M, Ślebioda Z. Adjunctive use of platelet-rich fibrin in surgical treatment of furcation defects: A systematic review. Adv Med Sci. 2023 Sep;68(2):366-371. doi: 10.1016/j.advms.2023.09.009. Epub 2023 Sep 25. PMID: 37757664.

53. Castro AB, Meschi N, Temmerman A, Pinto N, Lambrechts P, Teughels W, Quirynen M. Regenerative potential of leucocyte- and platelet-rich fibrin. Part A: intrabony defects, furcation defects and periodontal plastic surgery. A systematic review and metaanalysis. J Clin Periodontol. 2017 Jan;44(1):67-82. doi: 10.1111/ jcpe.12643. Epub 2016 Nov 24. PMID: 27783851; PMCID: PMC5248642.

54. D Ambrosio F, Caggiano M, Acerra A, Pisano M, Giordano F. Is Ozone a Valid Adjuvant Therapy for Periodontitis and Peri-Implantitis? A Systematic Review, J Pers Med. 2023 Apr 8;13(4):646. doi: 10.3390/ jpm13040646. PMID: 37109032; PMCID: PMC10143404. 55. Kshitish D, Laxman VK. The use of ozonated water and 0.2% chlorhexidine in the treatment of periodontitis patients: a clinical and microbiologic study. Indian J Dent Res. 2010 Jul-Sep;21(3):341-8. doi: 10.4103/0970-9290.70796. PMID: 20930341

56. Pisano M, Giordano F, Sangiovanni G. Capuano N. Acerra A, D'Ambrosio F. The Interaction between the Oral Microbiome and Systemic Diseases: A Narrative Review. Microbiology Research. 2023; 14(4):1862-1878. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microbiolres14040127 57. Pisano M, Amato A, Sammartino P, Iandolo A, Martina S, Caggiano M. Laser Therapy in the Treatment of Peri-Implantitis: State-of-the-Art, Literature Review and Meta-Analysis. Applied Sciences. 2021; 11(11):5290. https://doi. org/10.3390/app11115290 58. Li F, Jiang P, Pan J, Liu C, Zheng L. Synergistic Application of Platelet-Rich Fibrin and 1% Alendronate in Periodontal Bone Regeneration: A Meta-Analysis, Biomed Res Int, 2019 Aug 18;2019:9148183. doi: 10.1155/2019/9148183. PMID: 31531371; PMCID: PMC6720370. 59. Miron RJ, Moraschini V, Del

Fabbro M, Piattelli A, Fujioka-Kobayashi M, Zhang Y, Saulacic N, Schaller B, Kawase T, Cosgarea R, Jepsen S, Tuttle D, Bishara M, Canullo L, Eliezer M, Stavropoulos A, Shirakata Y, Stähli A, Gruber R, Lucaciu O, Aroca S, Deppe H, Wang HL, Sculean A, Use of platelet-rich fibrin for the treatment of gingival recessions: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Clin Oral Investig. 2020 Aug;24(8):2543-2557. doi: 10.1007/s00784-020-03400-7. Epub 2020 Jun 26. PMID: 32591868.

60. Chambrone L, Barootchi S, Avila-Ortiz G. Efficacy of biologics in root coverage and gingival augmentation therapy: An American Academy of Periodontology best evidence systematic review and network meta-analysis. J Periodontol. 2022 Dec;93(12):1771-1802. doi: 10.1002/JPER.22-0075. Epub 2022 Oct 24. PMID: 36279123. 61. Panda S, Satpathy A, Chandra Das A, Kumar M, Mishra L, Gupta S, Srivastava G, Lukomska-Szymanska M, Taschieri S, Del Fabbro M. Additive Effect of Platelet Rich Fibrin with Coronally Advanced Flap Procedure in Root Coverage of Miller's Class Land II Recession Defects-A **PRISMA Compliant Systematic** Review and Meta-Analysis. Materials (Basel). 2020 Sep 27;13(19):4314. doi: 10.3390/ ma13194314. PMID: 32992613; PMCID: PMC7579296. 62. de Almeida MCL, Rocha RGG, Magno MB, Lima RR, Saito MT Performance of multiple therapeutic approaches for palatal wound healing after soft tissue graft removal - an overview of systematic reviews. Clin Oral Investig. 2024 May 31;28(6):347. doi: 10.1007/ s00784-024-05733-z. PMID: 38819478

63. Meza-Mauricio J, Furquim CP, Geldres A, Mendoza-Azpur G, Retamal-Valdes B, Moraschini V, Faveri M. Is the use of platelet-rich fibrin effective in the healing, control of pain, and postoperative bleeding in the palatal area after free gingival graft harvesting? A systematic review of randomized clinical studies. Clin Oral Investig. 2021 Jul;25(7):4239-4249. doi: 10.1007/s00784-021-03933-5. Epub 2021 Apr 7. PMID: 33829349.

64. D'Ambrosio F, Caggiano M, Chiacchio A, Acerra A, Giordano F. Palatal Graft Harvesting Site Healing and Pain Management: What Is the Best Choice? An Umbrella Review. Applied Sciences. 2024; 14(13):5614. https://doi.org/10.3390/ app14135614

65. Gusman DJ, Matheus HR, Alves BE, de Oliveira AM, Britto AS, Novaes VC, Nagata MJ, Batista VS, de Almeida JM. Platelet-rich fibrin for wound healing of palatal donor sites of free gingival grafts: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Exp Dent. 2021 Feb 1;13(2):e190-e200. doi: 10.4317/ jced.57451. PMID: 33575004; PMCID: PMC7864367. 66. Giordano F, D'Ambrosio F, acerra A, Scognamiglio B, Langone M, caggiano M. Bone gain after maxillary sinus lift: 5-years follow-up evaluation of the graft stability . J Osseointegr. 2023 Aug. 3;15(3):221-7. 67. Gasparro R, Di Lauro AE, Campana MD, Rosiello N, Mariniello M, Sammartino G. Marenzi G. Effectiveness of Autologous Platelet Concentrates in the Sinus Lift Surgery: Findings from Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses, Dent J (Basel), 2024 Apr 10;12(4):101. doi: 10.3390/ dj12040101. PMID: 38668013; PMCID: PMC11049363.

68. Quirynen M, Blanco J, Wang HL, Donos N, Temmerman A, Castro A, Pinto N. Instructions for the use of L-PRF in different clinical indications. Periodontol 2000. 2024 May 27. doi: 10.1111/ prd.12564. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 38803016.

69. Ali S, Bakry SA, Abd-Elhakam H. Platelet-Rich Fibrin in Maxillary Sinus Augmentation: A Systematic Review. J Oral Implantol. 2015 Dec;41(6):746-53. doi: 10.1563/aaidjoi-D-14-00167. Epub 2014 Dec 04. DMD: 05550005

23. PMID: 25536095. 70. Ortega-Mejia H, Estrugo-Devesa A, Saka-Herrán C, Ayuso-Montero R, López-López J, Velasco-Ortega E. Platelet-Rich Plasma in Maxillary Sinus Augmentation: Systematic Review. Materials (Basel). 2020 Jan 30;13(3):622. doi: 10.3390/ ma13030622, PMID: 32019255; PMCID: PMC7040697. 71. Valentini P, Calciolari E, Monlezun S, Akcalı A, Donos N, Quirynen M. APCs in sinus floor augmentation. Periodontol 2000. 2024 Feb 16. doi: 10.1111/ prd.12554. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 38363055.

72. Sivakumar I, Arunachalam S, Mahmoud Buzayan M, Sharan J. Does the use of platelet-rich

plasma in sinus augmentation improve the survival of dental implants? A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Biol Craniofac Res. 2023 Jan-Feb;13(1):57-66. doi: 10.1016/j. jobcr.2022.11.002. Epub 2022 Nov 24. PMID: 36465890; PMCID: PMC9712696.

73. Abdalla RIB, Alqutaibi AY, Kaddah A. Does the adjunctive use of platelet-rich plasma to bone graft during sinus augmentation reduce implant failure and complication? Systematic review and meta-analysis. Quintessence Int. 2018;49(2):139-146. doi: 10.3290/j.qi.a39616. PMID: 29292406.

74. Araújo MG, Lindhe J. Dimensional ridge alterations following tooth extraction. An experimental study in the dog. J Clin Periodontol. 2005 Feb;32(2):212-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2005.00642.x. PMID: 15691354.

75. Caggiano M, D'Ambrosio F, Giordano F, Acerra A, Sammartino P, landolo A. The "Sling" Technique for Horizontal Guided Bone Regeneration: A Retrospective Case Series. Applied Sciences. 2022; 12(12):5889. https://doi.

org/10.3390/app12125889 **76.** Giordano F, Esposito M. Immediate loading of fixed prostheses in fully edentulous jaws - 1-year follow-up from a single-cohort retrospective study. Eur J Oral Implantol. 2017;10(3):339-348. PMID: 28944360.

77. Pantaleo G, Acerra A, Giordano F, D'Ambrosio F, Langone M, Caggiano M. Immediate Loading of Fixed Prostheses in Fully Edentulous Jaws: A 7-Year Follow-Up from a Single-Cohort Retrospective Study, Applied Sciences, 2022; 12(23):12427. https://doi. org/10.3390/app122312427 78. Moraschini V, Barboza ES. Effect of autologous platelet concentrates for alveolar socket preservation: a systematic review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2015 May;44(5):632-41. doi: 10.1016/j.ijom.2014.12.010. Epub 2015 Jan 24. PMID: 25631334. 79. Al-Maawi S, Becker K, Schwarz F, Sader R, Ghanaati S. Efficacy of platelet-rich fibrin in promoting the healing of extraction sockets: a systematic review, Int J Implant Dent, 2021 Dec 19;7(1):117. doi: 10.1186/ s40729-021-00393-0. PMID:



34923613; PMCID: PMC8684569. 80. Anitua E, Allende M, Alkhraisat MH. Unravelling Alveolar Bone Regeneration Ability of Platelet-Rich Plasma: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. Bioengineering (Basel). 2022 Sep 27;9(10):506. doi: 10.3390/ bioengineering9100506. PMID: 36290474; PMCID: PMC9598440. 81. Balli G, Ioannou A, Powell CA, Angelov N, Romanos GE, Soldatos N. Ridge Preservation Procedures after Tooth Extractions: A Systematic Review. Int J Dent. 2018 Jul 3;2018:8546568. doi: 10.1155/2018/8546568. PMID: 30057608; PMCID: PMC6051091. 82. Stumbras A, Kuliesius P, Januzis G, Juodzbalys G. Alveolar Ridge Preservation after Tooth Extraction Using Different Bone Graft Materials and Autologous Platelet Concentrates: a Systematic Review. J Oral Maxillofac Res. 2019 Mar 31;10(1):e2. doi: 10.5037/ jomr.2019.10102. PMID: 31069040; PMCID PMC6498816 83. Meschi N, Castro AB, Vandamme K, Quirynen M, Lambrechts P. The impact of autologous platelet concentrates on endodontic healing: a systematic review. Platelets. 2016 Nov;27(7):613-633. doi: 10.1080/09537104.2016.1226497. Epub 2016 Sep 22. PMID: 27658056. 84. Paduano S, Uomo R, Amato M, Riccitiello F, Simeone M, Valletta R. Cyst-like Periapical Lesion Healing in an Orthodontic Patient: A Case Report with Five-Year Follow-Up. G. Ital. Endodonzia 2013, 27, 95–104, doi:10.1016/j.gien.2013.09.002. 85. Attar-Attar L. Bernabeu-Mira JC. Cervera-Ballester J, Peñarrocha-Diago M, Peñarrocha-Diago M. Systematic review of surgical regenerative treatment for apicomarginal lesions in periapical surgery. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2024 May 1;29(3):e416-e422. doi: 10.4317/ medoral.26405. PMID: 38615249; PMCID: PMC11175566. 86. Iandolo A, Pantaleo G, Malvano

M, Simeone M, Amato M. Nonsurgical Management of Complex Endodontic Cases with Several Periapical Lesions: A Case Series. G. Ital. Endodonzia 2016, 30, 101–110, doi:10.1016/j. gien.2016.09.005.

